

Spacecraft AIT – JUICE from design to space

Ared Schnorhk

9/9/2024

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only



→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY



Content



- **Introduction**
- **Spacecraft AIT**
- **The JUICE case (launched 14. Apr. 2023)**
- **Other experienced cases (very shortly)**
- **A few lessons learnt**






My 35 years of experience at ESA-ESTEC

(from propulsion trainee to JUICE AIT & Launcher IF manager)



- Ared Schnorhk, Swiss from St-Maurice in Bas-Valais.
- EPFL diploma in 1987 and started as Young Graduate Trainee for a year at ESA-ESTEC in Noordwijk, the Netherlands
- Support on design of turbomachinery for rocket engines, new solid propellant development, support to science projects like: **SOHO** (launched in 1995), **XMM** (1999) and **INTEGRAL**





My 35 years of experience at ESA-ESTEC



Joined in 1998 the Science Projects department after SOHO Recovery and participated to:

Project	Launch	Launcher	Function	
CLUSTER2	2000	1 st Soyuz Fregat	Mechanical & propulsion	
MarsExpress	2003	Soyuz Fregat	Mechanical, propulsion, launcher	
VenusExpress	2007	Soyuz Fregat	Mechanical, thermal, propulsion, launcher	
Herschel/Planck	2009	Ariane 5	Mechanical, propulsion, launcher	
GAIA	2013	Soyuz Fregat	AIT & Launcher interface manager	
JUICE	2023	Ariane 5	AIT & Launcher interface manager	



In Total

- **12 S/C launched** (all completed better than the planned mission, 9 are still in operation)
- Participation to **8 Launch campaigns**
- **3 different launchers**: Atlas, Soyuz, Ariane 5
- **from 3 different launch sites** Kennedy (KSC), Baikonur and Kourou (CSG)





Content




- Introduction
- Spacecraft AIT
- The JUICE case
- Other experienced cases (very shortly)
- A few lessons learnt

What is spacecraft AIT ? (the theory)

Project Phasing as per ECSS-E-HB-10-02A Verification Handbook

ECSS-E-ST-10-03C Testing ECSS-E-ST-10-02C Verification ECSS-M-ST-80C Risk Mangmt ECSS-M-ST-60C Cost & Schedule Mangmt



❑ Documentation in preparation of AIT activities (Phase B & C) ...

- Several ESA – ECSS, ISO and MIL standards defining development phases (A,B,C...) and reviews
- Statements of Work (SoW), AIT and Test plans (at unit, subsystems and systems levels)
- Schedules


❑ Lot of tests to prepare (at unit, subsystems and systems levels)

- Functional & RF for S/W & RF verification & validation (S/C Electrical Model)
- Vibration (sine & acoustic) for launch environment compatibility verification/validation
- Thermal for space environment compatibility verification/validation
- EMC for conductive, emissivity, susceptibility verification/validation

❑ Test documentation and reviews (at unit, subsystems and systems levels)

- Specification → Test Specification Reviews (TSR) → Procedures → Test Readiness Reviews (TRR) → Test → Post-Test Reviews (PTR) → Analyses → Test Review Board (TRB)
- Anomalies management system (under PA/QA) to trace issues later-on, solve them efficiently and eventually draw generic lessons

... and a lot of different disciplines brought into practice (Phase D)





Content



- Introduction
- Spacecraft AIT
- The JUICE case
- Other experienced cases (very shortly)
- A few lessons learnt

❑ Power availability

- Jupiter is at 778.5 Million Km from the Sun (5.2 times further than Earth)
- Solar flux is around 50 W/m²
- Solar cell efficiency 26% (BOL), degradation (EOL), power distribution efficiency, margins for failure cases
- Solar array size 85 m² → 725 W

❑ High and low temperatures

- Very hot around Venus fly-by → 3.3 kW/m² → solar array at 150 C
- Very cold at Jupiter → 50 W/m² → solar array down to -220 C

❑ Radiation Environment

- Harsh radiation environment in the Jovian system → TID up to 25 Mrad external to the spacecraft
- Careful selection of radiation resistant electrical component and materials
- Shielding of all electronic equipment and harness → mass

❑ EMC

- Several instruments measuring fields and particles; very sensitive to EMC disturbances on ground (during S/C test) and in flight from the S/C itself from: - Reaction Wheels - Solar array - Solar array drive mechanisms - Power Control and Distribution Unit
- E.g. the spacecraft generated magnetic field shall be < 1 nT (10⁻⁹ Tesla) which is 50000 times less than the Earth magnetic field

❑ Mechanisms

- Number of deployment mechanisms, some to be developed

□ Launcher



- Powerful and reliable launcher
- End of Ariane 5 at production and several delays impacted Ariane 6 development
- Last Ariane 5 available to be ordered and booked very early
- 2 launch windows of a month per year

□ Navigation


- 5 fly-by during the cruise to Jupiter and critical JOI (Jupiter Orbit Insertion) in autonomy
- Signal turnaround time at Jupiter (Earth station-spacecraft-Earth station) 1.5 h
- 26 fly-by during the Jupiter Tour: Europa (2x), Ganymede (11x), Callisto (13x)
- Ganymede orbit insertion and 500 km polar orbit (gradually lowered to few 10's of km before controlled crash)
- Navigation Camera for autonomous operation

□ Contractual set-up

- Unit procurement spread over all ESA member states (>85 contracts from companies in >20 countries)
- Some companies with very limited experience in space
- 11 scientific instruments from 18 universities & institutes with limited autonomy on budget and manpower
- No contracts with Scientific instruments ie. limited lever arm to stimulate compliance with schedule for deliveries



- Launch mass \approx 6.1 ton
- Spacecraft dry = 2450 kg
- Propellant tank capability = 3650 kg
- Solar Array \approx 790 W EOL
- Memory = 1.25 Tbit EOL
- Data Rate $>$ 1.4 Gb/24 h
- Communication roundtrip \approx 90 min





JUICE AIT



<https://youtu.be/HDWb2rK72kk?si=9zB-ySpvoIGoNaW>; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TOKyzXulb-Y>



Large teams particularly when working in shifts.

All participants are important (including cleaners) with adequate competence



Team motivation, flexibility and experience are good assets.

ARIANE 5 - VA260



→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Status Date: 31 October 2017

JUICE Project Reference Master Schedule

Rev 22

Status Date: 31 October 2017 Rev 22

JUICE Project Reference Master Schedule

ID	Task Name	2015				2016				2017				2018				2019				2020				2021				2022			
		4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4			
1	Contract KO	Contract KO 28/07/15												Design completion																			
2	Phase B2	Phase B2 1w 7m 01/03/17												Start of ALT																			
3	SRR	SRR 01/03/16												Phase C																			
4	PDR	PDR 01/03/17												Phase D																			
5	Phase C	Phase C												27/01/18 - 01/03/18																			
6	Spacecraft TDM Thermal Balance Test	Delayed by 2 months												25/07/18 - 01/03/19																			
7	Instrument EM integration and test	Extended until Dec 2019 (9 mths)												CDR 01/03/19																			
8	CDR	Phase D												27/01/18 - 01/03/19																			
9	Phase D	Extended until end 2022												01/06/19 - 26/03/20																			
10	Instrument FM Integration	Extended until March 2022												01/04/20 - 29/04/20																			
11	Instrument Functional Tests													27/03/20 - 25/06/20																			
12	Spacecraft Functional Tests													01/06/20 - 12/06/20																			
13	Conducted EMC Test													'06/20 - 21/07/20																			
14	TB/TV Test	In 2 parts to cope with 4 last Instruments integration												02/09/20 - 16/10/20																			
15	Magnetic/ Radiated EMC Tests	Replaced (gain of a transport) and simplified												09/11/20 - 26/01/21																			
16	Functional/ Performances/ Physical properties Tests													16/02/21 - 02/03/21																			
17	Solar Array integration and deployment													12/03/21 - 10/05/21																			
18	Vibration/Acoustic													20/05/21 - 02/08/21																			
19	Final Tests													13/08/21 - 19/08/21																			
20	S/C preparation for shipment													FAR 01/09/21																			
21	FAR	Moved to Dec 2022												01/09/21 - 01/03/22																			
22	Margin	6 months original margin under-estimated												01/03/22 - 20/05/22																			
23	Launch Campaign													Launch 20/05/22																			
24	Launch	Moved to Oct 2022 then to Apr 2023 ie. 10 months delay												20/05/22 - 10/06/22																			
25	Launch Window																																
26																																	
27	Instrument STM delivery													15/05/18 - 16/09/19																			
28	Instrument EM delivery													06/04/18 - 20/11/18																			
29	Instrument FM delivery	Completion delayed by 18 months												01/04/19 - 03/07/20																			

Issues impacting the original schedule

- COVID pandemic
 - 2,5 -3 months impact
- 2x flooding of the S/C Engineering Model (SEM)
 - 3-4 weeks impact
- Late start (and then delivery) of several instruments
 - Magnetic test simplified and introduction of a 2nd thermal test
- Complete (zero risk) approach on the validation of a complex S/W
 - 2-3 weeks impact
- Divergence in AIT approach to apply between Prime teams
 - 1 week impact
- Priority to access some facilities given to other projects
 - 1 week impact
- 2 Launch windows per year

AIT Start and early development models


Apr-May 2018

Development of the new thermal protection with 2 solar constants LSS simulator.


Detection of possible Sun trapping hotspots.

(TDM= Thermal Development Model)

TDM in ESTEC LSS



S/C EM (from Sept 2019)




Development of harness, unit accommodation and magnetic characterisation. The S/C EM is still in use to support S/C flight operations




JUICE FM start of integration (from end 2019)



FM primary structure fresh from AIRBUS Madrid,
ready for outgassing at IABG, Ottobrunn



FM primary structure at ArianeGroup Lampoldshausen
for Chemical Propulsion System (CPS) integration



→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

~ all year 2020

Spacecraft Flight Model



I-PIF test bench in AIRBUS Toulouse for Instrument FM units advanced preparation before integration on S/C.

Early Bird test bench in AIRBUS Friedrichshafen for FM units advanced validation before integration into S/C Vaults



Preparation for 1st Thermal test in LSS ESTEC



May 2021



S/C thermal preparation



21




→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY



S/C in LSS for the 1st Thermal test



June 2021
TBTV – LSS




→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Final integration in AIRBUS Toulouse

End 2021 – beginning 2022

Last instruments unit integration



Deployment test




System testing in AIRBUS Toulouse

March 2022



EMC Test



Solar array deployments



Magnetic and 2nd Thermal test (TVAC) (end 2022)



Magnetic Test



Thermal vacuum Test

Transports with Antonov 142

AN-124 unloading in
Blagnac airport



Launch campaign (1. Feb. – 14. Apr 2023)

S/C arrival



S/C testing




S/C fueling



On launcher adapter



Launch campaign (1. Feb. – 14. Apr 2023)





Launch on 14. April 2023 (VA260)



©2023 ESA-CNES-ARIANESPACE / Optique vidéo du CSG - S. MARTIN




©2023 ESA-ARIANESPACE / Optique vidéo du CSG - J. GUILLOU

30



→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Elements of the JUICE program





JUICE 8 years trip to JUPITER



Where is JUICE now ? → https://www.esa.int/Science_Exploration/Space_Science/Juice/Where_is_Juice_now

At end-Aug. 2024:

~ 1B Km completed
~ 1st Earth fly-by just completed

Earth gravity assists

Aug 24, Sept 26, Jan 29

Venus gravity assist


Aug 2025,

Jul 2031 at Jupiter (JOI)

Observation of
Europa, Calisto and
Ganymede

End of mission on

Ganymede Mar 2035



32



→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY




Content




- Introduction
- Spacecraft AIT
- The JUICE case
- Other experienced cases (vv shortly)
- A few lessons learnt

SOHO the Sun observer & its recovery

- Launched in Dec. 1995 from KSC by ATLAS
- 12 instruments; NASA cooperation for launch and operations (NASA Goddard)
- Thruster (thermal design / vapour lock) issue during launch campaign
 - thruster firing tests at manufacturer location (west coast)
 - very late AOCS change of duty cycle thruster operation
- NASA application of “Faster Better Cheaper” for S/C operation
 - loss of spacecraft 25. June 1998
 - S/C found in slow spin, oriented perpendicular to Sun, off, propellant (hydrazine) frozen
- Recovery team sent to NASA Goddard
 - New operation CSW (AOCS, power) developed within 3 mnth
 - propellant tank and lines thawed
 - S/C recovered on 25. September 1998
- SOHO back in operation about 6 month after loss and still operating (Solar weather)



- ❑ Launched in Dec. 1999 from CSG Kourou by Ariane5 (VA-119)
- ❑ Complex X ray detector development (58 gold plated cylinders, 450 kg), cleanliness
- ❑ Complex transport due to the size (~ 12m long)
- ❑ Vibration qualification in 2 parts + demonstration by analysis
- ❑ Safety critical fuelling operation in BAF, S/C placed in a big bucket next to the launcher !
- ❑ S/C still in operation



Cluster2 – Earth-Sun magnetic measurement




- 4 S/C re-built from remaining of Cluster1 destroyed with Ariane5 VA501
- Launched in 2000 from Baikonur by 2 Soyuz-Fregat launchers
- Fregat stage development with Russia
- Horizontal phase for transport in launcher
- Small constellation, all issues are x4
- No development but very quick AIT phase of 2 years
- Magnetic characterisation, many mechanisms.
- Thruster issue just before start of launch campaign
- New facilities (particularly for bi-propellant fuel loading)
- All 4 S/C in operation
- S/C still in operation




MEX / VEX Mars & Venus observers

- ❑ Launched in 2003 and 2007 from Baikonur by Soyuz-Fregat launchers
- ❑ Launch facility roof collapsed 6 months before start of launch campaign
- ❑ MEX Beagle2 incomplete qualification → failed to deploy on Martian surface
- ❑ VEX late and very short development mainly a thermal change (cold to warm) → specific development of thermal insulation (MLI)
- ❑ VEX TVAC test with solar simulator (2 solar constants)
- ❑ Limited launch opportunities
- ❑ VEX experience of Venus aero-braking to lower orbit
- ❑ VEX controlled crash after >8 years of operations
- ❑ MEX still in operation (observation and transmitter for NASA landers)





- ❑ Launched in May 2009 from CSG Kourou by Ariane5 (VA-188)
- ❑ Extreme low temperatures, cleanliness
- ❑ Instruments tests requiring CSL very low T thermal chambers
- ❑ Herschel LH2 tank requiring complex GSE and intervention under fairing just before launch
- ❑ Herschel SiC-SiC telescope accepted during launch campaign
- ❑ Planck instrument not testable on ground
- ❑ Planck tanks to fill with different He isotopes at launch site
- ❑ Both completed missions better than expected (until cooling media exhausted)






GAIA the Milky-Way Billion stars astrometer



- Launched in May 2013 from CSG Kourou by Soyuz (VS-06)
- Very complex SiC-SiC double optic telescope, cleanliness
- Gigantic focal plane with 100 CCDs
- Specific instrument development before mating on Service module for system test
- Complex Sun shield mechanism deployed at launch site
- 2 propulsion systems (hydrazine system and a cold gas micro-propulsion system for spin and nutation control)
- S/C Still in operation
- 3 extreme accurate maps of 2 billions stars. 4th in preparation





Content



- Introduction
- Spacecraft AIT
- The JUICE case
- Other experienced cases (vv shortly)
- A few lessons learnt

- ❑ **Test as you fly** ie. prepare tests to be as representative as in flight.
- ❑ Every scientific S/C **development is an adventure** putting AIT under pressure as soon as the unexpected occurs.
- ❑ **Zero risk does not exist.** Risk analyses may help but are far to be complete (Who predicted COVID, or the collapse of a facility shortly before arrival at launch site, repeated floodings of the S/C EM or snow in south of Italy during a S/C road transport ? ...).
- ❑ Prepare **realistic schedules with adequate margin** to cope with the unexpected (as much as possible). Do not use this margin in early phases or at the first difficulty encountered. You never know what surprise may impact you later.
- ❑ **Agree with all parties on a strong development baseline approach** and prepare it well. Get support to define and achieve a **“good enough status”** at each important stage. Do not try to reach the best at all steps (too time consuming, too costly). Have backups ready.

Note: it is very difficult to define the “good enough status”. This is where experience is required.

- ❑ **Priorities** of major players in a consortium may evolve at any time leading to change of baseline or delays. Communication, transparency and reactivity are key to put solutions in place and to implement them without minimum additional stress on the team.

- ❑ All parties of a development consortium are **in the same boat**. Make sure this is well understood. It is the basis for **trustable and transparent cooperation**. This is essential if you want to be efficient.
- ❑ **Team members selection** for a project is a key to success (the best world expert in a field may not fit in a team and impact its synergy and the overall motivation) and delegate work (you cannot be everywhere and do everything).
- ❑ **Prepare each activity sufficiently in advance** to avoid late basic surprises creating delays at start of the activity.
- ❑ Make sure to have **all available team resources** with the required equipment/tools and capabilities. This becomes particularly difficult when working in 2 or 3-shifts.
- ❑ The **carrot/stick management** approach works if the carrots only are used (ie. don't use the stick). It is counter-productive since real problems are often complex, from multiple origins. It is more effective to contribute for a quick solution (the longer you wait to solve it the worst impact you'll get).
- ❑ To the young engineers: make the effort to **consult and use past experience**. It will help you to avoid repeating mistakes.